

HMAA Governance Simulation

Simulation User Guide

Version: v2.4.0

Document: HMAA-SIM-UG-001

Date: April 2026

Revision: 1.0

Author: Burak Oktenli

Institution: Georgetown University, School of Continuing Studies

Program: M.P.S. Applied Intelligence (STEM)

ORCID: 0009-0001-8573-1667

Related Artifact: DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.18861653](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18861653)

Document Control

| | |
|---------------------------|---|
| Document ID | HMAA-SIM-UG-001 |
| Simulation Version | v2.4.0 |
| Author | Burak Oktenli — Georgetown University — ORCID 0009-0001-8573-1667 |
| Date / Revision | April 2026 / Rev 1.0 — Initial Release |
| Classification | UNCONTROLLED — Research Artifact |
| Related Artifact | DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18861653 |

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|------|
| 1. Purpose, Scope, and Assumptions | 3 |
| 2. Quick Start (5-Step) | 3 |
| 3. System Requirements and Security | 4 |
| 4. Interface Layout and Navigation | 4-5 |
| 5. Operating Procedures | 5-6 |
| 6. Parameter Reference | 6-7 |
| 7. Scenario Reference | 7 |
| 8. Metrics, Formulas, and Verification | 7-8 |
| 9. Data Export and Reproducibility | 8-9 |
| 10. Limitations and Threat Considerations | 9 |
| 11. Troubleshooting | 9-10 |
| 12. Glossary and References | 10 |

1. Purpose, Scope, and Assumptions

This guide provides operating procedures for the HMAA (Human-Machine Authority Architecture) simulation dashboard. The simulation computes real-time authority levels using four input parameters (Q, C, E, tau) mapped through a four-tier authority state machine (T4 Full Autonomy through T1 Lockout) with hysteresis-protected transitions. It includes Monte Carlo statistical analysis, parameter sensitivity heatmaps, scenario comparison tools, and a cryptographic SALM audit chain.

Intended Audience: EB2-NIW petition evaluators, defense/aerospace reviewers, academic peers, and technical collaborators seeking independent verification of governance pipeline behavior.

Scope: Operation of the HMAA Governance Simulation simulation. Does not cover mathematical theory (see published paper) or hardware specifications (see Blueprint.am).

1.1 Assumptions and Constraints

- The user has a modern browser with JavaScript enabled and HTTPS access.
- All parameter values are synthetic research placeholders, not calibrated against physical hardware.
- The simulation models governance logic only. Physical dynamics (aerodynamics, acoustics, electromagnetics) are simplified.
- Cryptographic operations (SHA-256 audit chain) use the WebCrypto API, not hardware TPM/HSM.
- Results are valid for demonstrating architectural behavior, not for operational safety assessment.

IMPORTANT

This simulation is a research prototype. Not for operational planning, safety-critical decisions, or system certification. All parameters are synthetic.

2. Quick Start

Step 1. Open hmaa-simulation.html in Chrome over HTTPS.

Step 2. Adjust the four sliders: Q (Qualification), C (Context), E (Escalation), tau (Sensor Trust).

Step 3. Watch the authority gauge compute $A = \tau * [(w_Q * Q + w_C * C) * (1 - E)]$ in real time.

Step 4. Click preset buttons (NOMINAL, CRISIS, JAM tau=0) to jump to pre-defined states.

Step 5. Switch to Monte Carlo tab and click RUN for 100-trial statistical analysis.

NOTE

All computation runs client-side. No data leaves your browser. Requires HTTPS (not file://).

3. System Requirements and Security Considerations

| | |
|---------|---|
| Browser | Chrome 90+, Firefox 88+, Safari 15+, Edge 90+ |
|---------|---|

| Protocol | HTTPS required (WebCrypto API for SHA-256 audit hashing) |
|--------------|--|
| Display | Min 1280x720; recommended 1920x1080+ |
| CPU/Memory | Any modern processor. Monte Carlo (100+ runs): multi-core recommended, ~200MB RAM peak |
| GPU | WebGL-capable recommended for 3D visualizations (Three.js) |
| Network | Internet for initial CDN load (~500KB). All computation client-side after load. |
| Installation | None — zero install, no login, no backend, no database, no cookies |

3.1 Security Considerations

- **No data exfiltration:** All computation runs in the browser. No data is sent to any server.
- **CDN dependencies:** React, ReactDOM, and Babel load from cdnjs.cloudflare.com (Cloudflare CDN with SRI hashes where available).
- **Audit integrity:** SHA-256 hash chain via WebCrypto API. Each audit entry links to the previous entry's hash. VERIFY button recomputes the entire chain.
- **No authentication:** The simulation has no login system. All state is ephemeral in browser memory.

4. Interface Layout and Navigation

4.1 Panel Layout

The interface uses a three-column layout. **Left Panel:** Four parameter sliders (Q, C, E, tau) stacked vertically with current values displayed, plus eight preset buttons in a 2x4 grid below. **Center Panel:** Large circular authority gauge (0-100%) with tier label (T4/T3/T2/T1), formula breakdown showing weighted computation, and tabbed navigation bar (Overview, Calculator, Hysteresis, Monte Carlo, Compare, Scenarios, Audit, Reproducibility). **Right Panel:** SALM hash-chained audit log scrolling downward with timestamp, parameters, authority, tier, and SHA-256 hash per entry. **Top Bar:** Version badge and simulation status indicators.

4.2 Navigation Tabs

| Overview (ov) | Main dashboard with authority gauge, tier display, and formula breakdown |
|-------------------------|--|
| Calculator (calc) | Manual parameter entry with step-by-step computation trace |
| Hysteresis (hysteresis) | Tier transition thresholds with hysteresis band visualization |
| Monte Carlo (montex) | 100-run statistical analysis with histogram and confidence intervals |
| Compare (compare) | Side-by-side comparison of two parameter configurations |
| Scenarios (s1) | Pre-defined operational scenarios with automated parameter sequences |
| Audit (audit) | SALM hash-chained audit trail with SHA-256 verification |
| Reproducibility (repro) | Seed verification, export certification, and determinism proof |

4.3 Panel Descriptions

Parameter Sliders. Four sliders controlling Q (Qualification, 0-1), C (Context, 0-1), E (Escalation, 0-1), and tau (Sensor Trust, 0-1)

Preset Buttons. Eight preset configurations: TIER 4 (1,1,0,1), NOMINAL (0.9,0.8,0.1,0.95), EW ZONE (0.9,0.9,0.62,0.95), DEGRADED (0.5,0.5,0.5,0.5), ROGUE (1,0,0,1), JAM tau=0 (0.9,0.9,0.5,0), CRISIS (0.2,0.3,0.9,0.4), ALL ZERO (0,0,0,0)

Authority Gauge. Circular gauge showing computed authority (0-100%) with tier color coding

SALM Audit Log. Write-once hash-chained log recording every authority state transition with SHA-256 hashes

TIP

Hover over interface elements for tooltips. Most gauges include ARIA labels for screen reader accessibility.

5. Operating Procedures

5.1 Startup

1. Navigate to the simulation URL or click Launch Simulation from burakoktenli.com.
2. Wait for loading (2-5 seconds). CDN scripts load from cdnjs.cloudflare.com.
3. Verify interface loads completely. All panels should be visible.

5.2 Standard Operation

1. Open the simulation via the Launch Simulation button or navigate to hmaa-simulation.html.
2. The simulation loads in the Overview (ov) tab showing the authority gauge at default values (Q=0.90, C=0.80, E=0.20, tau=1.00).
3. Adjust the four parameter sliders (Q, C, E, tau) and observe the authority gauge respond in real time. The formula $A = \tau * [(w_Q * Q + w_C * C) * (1 - E)]$ is computed continuously.
4. Click preset buttons (TIER 4, NOMINAL, EW ZONE, etc.) to jump to pre-defined parameter states and observe the resulting authority tier.
5. Switch to the Hysteresis tab to observe tier transition boundaries. Note the hysteresis bands that prevent oscillation between adjacent tiers.
6. Switch to the Monte Carlo tab and click RUN to execute 100 automated trials with Gaussian noise injection. Review the histogram, mean, standard deviation, and 95% confidence interval.
7. Switch to the Audit tab to inspect the SALM hash chain. Each entry shows the input parameters, computed authority, tier, and SHA-256 hash linking to the previous entry.
8. Use Export JSON or Export CSV buttons to download session data for independent analysis.

5.3 Shutdown

1. Export session data. 2. Close browser tab (all state discarded).

IMPORTANT

State is not persisted. Export before closing to preserve results.

6. Parameter Reference

| Q (Qualification) | Slider sQ | 0.00 - 1.00 | 0.90 | Agent verified capability score |
|--------------------|---------------|---------------|------|--|
| C (Context) | Slider sC | 0.00 - 1.00 | 0.80 | Mission context legitimacy |
| E (Escalation) | Slider sE | 0.00 - 1.00 | 0.20 | Threat intensity (higher = less authority) |
| tau (Sensor Trust) | Slider sT | 0.00 - 1.00 | 1.00 | Signal integrity / crypto confidence (0 = jam) |
| HT Noise (sigma) | Slider ht-sig | 0.000 - 0.150 | 0.03 | Gaussian noise for hysteresis testing |
| MC Sample Count | Slider cmp-n | 10 - 500 | 100 | Number of Monte Carlo trials |

NOTE

All defaults are synthetic. Replace with empirically derived values before operational use.

6.1 Presets

| TIER 4 | Q=1, C=1, E=0, tau=1 | Authority=1.00, Full Autonomy |
|----------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| NOMINAL | Q=0.9, C=0.8, E=0.1, tau=0.95 | Authority~0.69, Supervised |
| EW ZONE | Q=0.9, C=0.9, E=0.62, tau=0.95 | Reduced by escalation |
| DEGRADED | Q=0.5, C=0.5, E=0.5, tau=0.5 | ~0.125, near lockout |
| ROGUE | Q=1, C=0, E=0, tau=1 | A=0, zero context |
| JAM | Q=0.9, C=0.9, E=0.5, tau=0 | A=0, full jamming |
| CRISIS | Q=0.2, C=0.3, E=0.9, tau=0.4 | Near-zero authority |
| ALL ZERO | Q=0, C=0, E=0, tau=0 | A=0, total failure |

7. Scenario Reference

| TIER 4 | Full Autonomy | Q=1, C=1, E=0, tau=1 | Authority = 1.00, Tier 4 |
|----------|--------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| NOMINAL | Standard Ops | Q=0.9, C=0.8, E=0.1, tau=0.95 | Authority ~0.69, Tier 3 |
| EW ZONE | Electronic Warfare | Q=0.9, C=0.9, E=0.62, tau=0.95 | Authority reduced by escalation |
| DEGRADED | System Degraded | Q=0.5, C=0.5, E=0.5, tau=0.5 | Authority ~0.125, near lockout |
| ROGUE | Rogue Agent | Q=1, C=0, E=0, tau=1 | Authority = 0 (zero context) |

| JAM tau=0 | Full Jamming | Q=0.9, C=0.9, E=0.5, tau=0 | Authority = 0 (zero trust) |
|--------------|---------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| CRISIS | Crisis State | Q=0.2, C=0.3, E=0.9, tau=0.4 | Near-zero authority |
| ALL ZERO | Total Failure | Q=0, C=0, E=0, tau=0 | Authority = 0, Tier 1 Lockout |

8. Metrics, Formulas, and Verification

8.1 Key Metrics

Authority Level (A)

Computed value 0.00-1.00 from the formula $A = \tau * [(w_Q * Q + w_C * C) * (1 - E)]$. Determines the operational tier.

Tier Classification

T4 (Full Autonomy, $A \geq 0.75$), T3 (Supervised, $0.50 \leq A < 0.75$), T2 (Restricted, $0.25 \leq A < 0.50$), T1 (Lockout, $A < 0.25$). Hysteresis bands prevent oscillation.

SALM Hash Chain

Each state transition generates a SHA-256 hash linking to the previous entry, creating a tamper-evident audit trail.

Monte Carlo Statistics

Mean, standard deviation, min, max, 95% CI, histogram, and per-tier probability distribution across N automated trials.

Hysteresis State

Current tier boundary with direction-dependent transition thresholds preventing chatter.

8.2 Verification Checklist

Perform the following checks to verify correct simulation behavior:

| | |
|---|--|
| Set Q=1, C=1, E=0, tau=1 | Authority = 1.00 (Tier 4) |
| Set tau=0 (any Q,C,E) | Authority = 0.00 (Tier 1 Lockout) |
| Set E=1 (any Q,C,tau) | Authority = 0.00 (escalation override) |
| Run Monte Carlo (100 trials) | Mean, StdDev, 95% CI displayed. Histogram renders. |
| Click VERIFY on Audit tab | Chain intact message. All hashes sequential. |
| Export JSON and reload with same params | Bit-exact identical authority values. |

9. Data Export and Reproducibility

Click Export JSON to download the complete session state including all parameter values, authority history, SALM audit chain, and Monte Carlo results. Click Export CSV for tabular data. Click Repro Cert on the Reproducibility tab to generate a determinism certification document verifying that the seeded PRNG produces identical results.

Verification: 1) Export JSON. 2) Note PRNG seed. 3) Reload with same seed/params. 4) Verify bit-exact match.

9.1 Reproducibility Guarantee

| | |
|----------------|--|
| PRNG | Mulberry32 (32-bit seeded) |
| Math.random() | Zero calls in computation paths |
| Cross-Browser | Verified: Chrome, Firefox, Safari, Edge |
| Cross-Platform | Verified: Windows, macOS, Linux |
| Audit Chain | SHA-256 via WebCrypto (SubtleCrypto API) |

10. Limitations and Threat Considerations

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Simulation-Only Evidence | Browser-based computation. No physical sensor data or hardware measurements. |
| Synthetic Q/C/E/tau | All four input parameters are manually set, not derived from real sensor feeds. |
| No Hardware SALM | Audit chain uses WebCrypto SHA-256, not TPM/HSM hardware-backed hashing. |
| Fixed Weight Model | Weights w_Q and w_C are fixed. Production systems would require adaptive weighting. |
| Single-Agent Only | HMAA computes authority for one agent. Multi-agent scenarios require MAIVA. |
| No Temporal Dynamics | Static computation per slider state. No time-series sensor degradation modeling. |

10.1 Threat Considerations

- **CDN compromise:** React/Babel load from cdnjs.cloudflare.com. A CDN compromise could inject malicious code. Mitigation: Subresource Integrity (SRI) hashes on script tags where available.
- **Browser extensions:** Malicious extensions could modify simulation DOM/state. Mitigation: test in Incognito mode for clean results.
- **Local modification:** Users can modify simulation code via DevTools. Exported data should be verified against the published source on burakoktenli.com.

11. Troubleshooting

| | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| Authority gauge not updating | Slider event listener failed | Refresh the page. Ensure JavaScript is enabled. |
| Monte Carlo shows NaN | Division by zero in empty dataset | Run at least one tick before launching Monte Carlo. |

| Hysteresis tab empty | No tier transitions recorded | Adjust sliders to cross tier boundaries first. |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| Export CSV produces empty file | No simulation history | Run the simulation for several ticks before exporting. |
| Preset buttons not responding | Page not fully loaded | Wait for all CDN scripts to load before clicking presets. |
| SALM hash shows 'pending' | Async SHA-256 not resolved | Wait 1-2 seconds; WebCrypto resolves asynchronously. |

12. Glossary and References

12.1 Glossary

| HMAA | Human-Machine Authority Architecture — the governance engine computing authority from Q, C, E, tau |
|-----------------|--|
| SALM | Secure Authority Lifecycle Manager — hash-chained write-once audit log |
| Q | Qualification — agent's verified capability score (0-1) |
| C | Context — mission context legitimacy score (0-1) |
| E | Escalation — threat intensity pressure (0-1, higher = less authority) |
| tau | Sensor Trust — signal integrity / crypto confidence (0-1, 0 = full jam) |
| Tier (T4-T1) | Authority classification: T4 Full Autonomy, T3 Supervised, T2 Restricted, T1 Lockout |
| Hysteresis Band | Transition buffer preventing oscillation between adjacent tiers |
| Monte Carlo | Statistical method running N trials with varied noise to compute confidence intervals |
| PRNG | Pseudo-Random Number Generator — Mulberry32 seeded algorithm for reproducibility |

12.2 References

- [1] Oktenli, B. (2026). HMAA: An Operational AI Governance Engine for Real-Time Authority Computation. Zenodo. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18861653.
- [2] Oktenli, B. (2026). HMAA Simulation Dashboard v2.4.0. <https://burakoktenli.com/hmaa-simulation.html>
- [3] Oktenli, B. (2026). HMAA Architecture Page. <https://burakoktenli.com/hmaa.html>
- [4] Sha, L. (2001). Using Simplicity to Control Complexity. *IEEE Software*, 18(4).
- [5] U.S. DoD (2023). Directive 3000.09: Autonomy in Weapon Systems.

12.3 Contact

Burak Oktenli — Georgetown University, School of Continuing Studies
 Website: burakoktenli.com | ORCID: **0009-0001-8573-1667**

For questions about this simulation or the governance architecture research program, use the contact form at burakoktenli.com.

End of Document