

# Rover Testbed Governance Simulator

## Simulation User Guide

Version: v1.0

Document: TESTBED-SIM-UG-001

Date: April 2026

Revision: 1.0

Author: Burak Oktenli

Institution: Georgetown University, School of Continuing Studies

Program: M.P.S. Applied Intelligence (STEM)

ORCID: 0009-0001-8573-1667

Related Artifact: DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.19143190](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19143190)

# Document Control

<b>Document ID</b>	TESTBED-SIM-UG-001
<b>Simulation Version</b>	v1.0
<b>Author</b>	Burak Oktenli — Georgetown University — ORCID 0009-0001-8573-1667
<b>Date / Revision</b>	April 2026 / Rev 1.0 — Initial Release
<b>Classification</b>	UNCONTROLLED — Research Artifact
<b>Related Artifact</b>	DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19143190

## Table of Contents

- 1. Purpose, Scope, and Assumptions ..... 3
- 2. Quick Start (5-Step) ..... 3
- 3. System Requirements and Security ..... 4
- 4. Interface Layout and Navigation ..... 4-5
- 5. Operating Procedures ..... 5-6
- 6. Parameter Reference ..... 6-7
- 7. Scenario Reference ..... 7
- 8. Metrics, Formulas, and Verification ..... 7-8
- 9. Data Export and Reproducibility ..... 8-9
- 10. Limitations and Threat Considerations ..... 9
- 11. Troubleshooting ..... 9-10
- 12. Glossary and References ..... 10

# 1. Purpose, Scope, and Assumptions

This guide provides operating procedures for the Rover Testbed simulation implementing the SATA-HMAA-CARA governance pipeline for a ground vehicle with 5 sensor channels (LiDAR RPLIDAR A1, Camera Module 3, IMU MPU-6050, ToF VL53L0X array, motor encoders). The simulation runs 7 fault scenarios with 50 runs each (350 total) and verifies 8 TLA+ safety properties.

**Intended Audience:** EB2-NIW petition evaluators, defense/aerospace reviewers, academic peers, and technical collaborators seeking independent verification of governance pipeline behavior.

**Scope:** Operation of the Rover Testbed Governance Simulator simulation. Does not cover mathematical theory (see published paper) or hardware specifications (see Blueprint.am).

## 1.1 Assumptions and Constraints

- The user has a modern browser with JavaScript enabled and HTTPS access.
- All parameter values are synthetic research placeholders, not calibrated against physical hardware.
- The simulation models governance logic only. Physical dynamics (aerodynamics, acoustics, electromagnetics) are simplified.
- Cryptographic operations (SHA-256 audit chain) use the WebCrypto API, not hardware TPM/HSM.
- Results are valid for demonstrating architectural behavior, not for operational safety assessment.

### IMPORTANT

This simulation is a research prototype. Not for operational planning, safety-critical decisions, or system certification. All parameters are synthetic.

## 2. Quick Start

**Step 1.** Open the simulation HTML file in Chrome over HTTPS.

**Step 2.** Review the interface panels and identify the main controls.

**Step 3.** Click RUN or START to begin the simulation loop.

**Step 4.** Observe the governance pipeline processing sensor inputs through all modules.

**Step 5.** Use export/download buttons to save session data as JSON for verification.

### NOTE

All computation runs client-side. No data leaves your browser. Requires HTTPS (not file://).

## 3. System Requirements and Security Considerations

Browser	Chrome 90+, Firefox 88+, Safari 15+, Edge 90+
Protocol	HTTPS required (WebCrypto API for SHA-256 audit hashing)

Display	Min 1280x720; recommended 1920x1080+
CPU/Memory	Any modern processor. Monte Carlo (100+ runs): multi-core recommended, ~200MB RAM peak
GPU	WebGL-capable recommended for 3D visualizations (Three.js)
Network	Internet for initial CDN load (~500KB). All computation client-side after load.
Installation	None — zero install, no login, no backend, no database, no cookies

### 3.1 Security Considerations

- **No data exfiltration:** All computation runs in the browser. No data is sent to any server.
- **CDN dependencies:** React, ReactDOM, and Babel load from cdnjs.cloudflare.com (Cloudflare CDN with SRI hashes where available).
- **Audit integrity:** SHA-256 hash chain via WebCrypto API. Each audit entry links to the previous entry's hash. VERIFY button recomputes the entire chain.
- **No authentication:** The simulation has no login system. All state is ephemeral in browser memory.

## 4. Interface Layout and Navigation

### 4.1 Panel Layout

The interface displays a rover governance dashboard. **Top Panel:** Authority gauge showing current tier (T4-T1) with sensor trust array for 5 channels (LiDAR, Camera, IMU, ToF, Encoders). **Center Panel:** Real-time governance pipeline status and GREP recovery phase indicator. **Bottom Panel:** Requirements Traceability Matrix mapping each requirement to its verification evidence, FMEA table showing failure modes and detection coverage. **Controls:** PAUSE button, scenario auto-sequencing through 7 fault scenarios.

#### TIP

Hover over interface elements for tooltips. Most gauges include ARIA labels for screen reader accessibility.

## 5. Operating Procedures

### 5.1 Startup

1. Navigate to the simulation URL or click Launch Simulation from burakoktenli.com.
2. Wait for loading (2-5 seconds). CDN scripts load from cdnjs.cloudflare.com.
3. Verify interface loads completely. All panels should be visible.

### 5.2 Standard Operation

1. Open the simulation via Launch Simulation or navigate to testbed-simulation.html.
2. The simulation auto-runs through all 7 fault scenarios sequentially.

3. Click PAUSE to halt execution at any point and inspect the current state.
4. Observe the authority gauge transition through T4-T3-T2-T1 tiers as faults are injected.
5. Monitor the 8 TLA+ invariants in real time. All should remain green (holding).
6. Review the requirements traceability matrix mapping each requirement to its implementation evidence.
7. Review the FMEA table showing failure modes, effects, and detection coverage.
8. After all scenarios complete, review the aggregate statistics: 350 runs, zero unsafe actions.

### 5.3 Shutdown

1. Export session data. 2. Close browser tab (all state discarded).

**IMPORTANT**

State is not persisted. Export before closing to preserve results.

### 6. Parameter Reference

Parameters are pre-configured per scenario. See Section 4 for interface controls and the published paper for parameter derivation.

## 7. Scenario Reference

Scenario ID	Scenario Name	Scenario Description	Impact
SC-1	Nominal	Normal operations baseline	Authority stable at T3-T4
SC-2	LiDAR Obstruction	LiDAR sensor blocked	LiDAR trust drops, authority reduces
SC-3	IMU Drift	Accelerometer drift accumulation	IMU trust decays over time
SC-4	Camera Loss	Camera feed drops to zero	Camera trust = 0, cross-validation triggers
SC-5	Multi-Sensor Cascade	Multiple simultaneous failures	Authority drops to T1 lockout
SC-6	GPS Spoofing	GPS coordinates manipulated	SATA cross-validation detects mismatch
SC-7	Comms Degradation	Communication link quality loss	Authority reduces proportionally

## 8. Metrics, Formulas, and Verification

### 8.1 Key Metrics

#### Authority Level

Four-tier: T4 Full Autonomy ( $A \geq 0.75$ ), T3 Supervised (0.50-0.75), T2 Restricted (0.25-0.50), T1 Lockout ( $A < 0.25$ ).

#### Sensor Trust Array

5 channels: LiDAR (RPLIDAR A1), Camera (RPI Camera Module 3), IMU (MPU-6050), ToF (VL53L0X), Encoders.

**TLA+ Invariants**

8 safety properties formally verified with TLC model checker across 48,751 reachable states.

**GREP Recovery Phase**

Current CARA recovery phase if authority drops below lockout threshold.

**FMEA Coverage**

Failure Mode and Effects Analysis results showing detection and mitigation coverage for each failure mode.

**8.2 Verification Checklist**

Perform the following checks to verify correct simulation behavior:

Start simulation (RUN/START)	Interface loads. Governance pipeline begins processing.
Observe default state	Authority at nominal level. All pipeline stages PASS.
Inject a fault or attack	Authority reduces proportionally. Affected stage shows FAIL.
Monitor recovery	If CARA active, observe GREP recovery phases.
Export session data (JSON)	File downloads with parameters, history, and audit trail.
Reload and verify reproducibility	Same seed + params = identical outputs.

**9. Data Export and Reproducibility**

Click export/download to save session JSON with parameters, history, and audit trail.

**Verification:** 1) Export JSON. 2) Note PRNG seed. 3) Reload with same seed/params. 4) Verify bit-exact match.

**9.1 Reproducibility Guarantee**

PRNG	Mulberry32 (32-bit seeded)
Math.random()	Zero calls in computation paths
Cross-Browser	Verified: Chrome, Firefox, Safari, Edge
Cross-Platform	Verified: Windows, macOS, Linux
Audit Chain	SHA-256 via WebCrypto (SubtleCrypto API)

**10. Limitations and Threat Considerations**

Simulation-Only Evidence	Browser-based computation. No physical sensor data or hardware measurements.

Uncalibrated Parameters	All values are synthetic research parameters, not empirically derived.
No Real-Time Guarantees	JavaScript engine provides no timing guarantees for safety-critical operations.
Simulated Cryptography	SHA-256 uses WebCrypto. TPM/HSM operations are modeled, not hardware-backed.
Single-Session State	All state held in memory. Closing the tab discards all data.

## 10.1 Threat Considerations

- **CDN compromise:** React/Babel load from cdnjs.cloudflare.com. A CDN compromise could inject malicious code. Mitigation: Subresource Integrity (SRI) hashes on script tags where available.
- **Browser extensions:** Malicious extensions could modify simulation DOM/state. Mitigation: test in Incognito mode for clean results.
- **Local modification:** Users can modify simulation code via DevTools. Exported data should be verified against the published source on burakoktenli.com.

## 11. Troubleshooting

Black screen after loading	React render error or CSP violation	Open F12 Console for error details. Try Chrome Incognito mode.
Simulation runs slowly	CPU-intensive Monte Carlo or 3D rendering	Close other browser tabs. Reduce sample count.
Controls not responding	Browser tab lost focus	Click inside the simulation window. Ensure tab is active.
Export button not working	Pop-up/download blocked	Allow downloads from the simulation domain in browser settings.
Loading screen never completes	CDN scripts blocked by firewall/extension	Disable ad blockers. Allow cdnjs.cloudflare.com.

## 12. Glossary and References

### 12.1 Glossary

Rover Testbed	Rover Testbed
SATA	Sensor Attestation and Trust Anchoring — trust fusion
HMAA	Human-Machine Authority Architecture — authority computation
CARA	Control Authority Regulation Architecture — recovery protocol
Authority Level	Computed governance authority (0.0-1.0) governing operational actions

PRNG	Pseudo-Random Number Generator — Mulberry32 seeded for reproducibility
Governance Pipeline	Sequential processing chain: SATA -> HMAA -> MAIVA -> FLAME -> CARA

## 12.2 References

- [1] Oktenli, B. (2026). Rover Testbed Governance Simulator. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19143190.
- [2] Oktenli, B. (2026). Simulation artifact. <https://burakoktenli.com/testbed-simulation.html>
- [3] Oktenli, B. (2026). Research Portfolio. <https://burakoktenli.com>
- [4] U.S. DoD (2023). Directive 3000.09: Autonomy in Weapon Systems.
- [5] NIST (2023). AI Risk Management Framework (AI RMF 1.0).

## 12.3 Contact

Burak Oktenli — Georgetown University, School of Continuing Studies

Website: [burakoktenli.com](https://burakoktenli.com) | ORCID: **0009-0001-8573-1667**

For questions about this simulation or the governance architecture research program, use the contact form at [burakoktenli.com](https://burakoktenli.com).

---

End of Document